

**Report of the Special Committee on U.S. Route Numbering
to the
Standing Committee on Highways**

The members of the Special Committee on Route Numbering considered by mail ballot the items listed on the attached Route Numbering Committee Agenda dated October 7, 2003

The following members submitted mail ballots: Mike Behrens, Chair, Texas; Kenneth Sweeney, Maine; Kevin Keith, Missouri; and Larry Velasquez, New Mexico. Donna Tamburelli of the AASHTO Staff tallied the mail ballots.

The Committee considered 9 applications from 5 states. All applications were approved as submitted, with the exception of one application.

The application from the Alabama Department of Transportation for the recognition of a Business Route on U.S. Route 78 was disapproved. The Committee members suggested that the route be designated as an Alternate Route instead of a Business Route, since the proposed route does not fit the definition of a Business Route as defined in HO1, the purpose and policy on the "Establishment and Development of United States Numbered Highways," but does fit the definition of an Alternate Route.

Submitted by,

Mike Behrens, Texas
Chair of the Special Committee
on Route Numbering

HW-04-01
Special Committee on Route Numbering Balloted by
SCOH

Q1 2	Q2	Q3
Alabama	Disagree	Marty, Alabama wishes to withdraw our request for the U.S. 78 "Business" or "Alternate" designation. Congress is working to designate Corridor X as Interstate 22, and that will have an affect on what we do. We will resubmit later, when and if Congress acts. Thank you, Don
Arizona	Agree	Agree with the subcomitte's recommendations to change the Alabama request to an Alt route designation.
Connecticut	Agree	
Delaware	Agree	None
Florida	Agree	
Georgia	Agree	
Hawaii	Agree	
Idaho	Agree	
Illinois	Agree	
Indiana	Agree	None
Indiana	Agree	None
Iowa	Agree	We've communicated with Marty about an error in the description of Iowa's relocation of U.S. route 275. That should be corrected and we've sent Donna at AASHTO the corrected language.
Kansas	Agree	
Kentucky	Agree	
Louisiana	Agree	
Maine	Agree	
Massachusetts	Agree	
Michigan	Agree	
Mississippi	Agree	
Nebraska	Agree	
Nevada	Agree	
New Jersey	Agree	
North Carolina	Agree	
North Dakota	Agree	None
Ohio	Agree	
Oklahoma	Agree	
Pennsylvania	Agree	
Rhode Island	Agree	
South Carolina	Agree	
Tennessee	Agree	
Texas	Agree	
Utah	Agree	
Vermont	Agree	
Virginia	Agree	
Washington	Agree	
West Virginia	Agree	
Wyoming	Agree	
		36
		1

HW-04-01 ROUTE NUMBERING REPORT

STANDING COMMITTEE ON HIGHWAYS

DUE DATE: Friday, JANUARY 30, 2004

SUBJECT: Ballot HW-04-01 Special Committee on Route Numbering

For your convenience, it is suggested that you print the supporting documents before you vote. To retrieve the attachments select this link and proceed to the item on Surveys.

The Special Committee on Route Numbering has provided SCOH with their meeting report and decisions. Please review the report and indicate below if you agree or disagree with the special committee's findings. Please submit your vote no later than January 30, 2004.

1. Complete the following information before you begin.

Name

Member Department

EMAIL ADDRESS

2. Do you approve the Special Committee on Route Numbering Report?

- Agree
- Disagree

3. Comments

It's time to submit your ballot. Thanks!

MVitale, January 6, 2004

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
444 N. Capitol Street, N.W., Suite 249
Washington, D.C. 20001

Route Numbering Committee Agenda
October 7, 2003

Alabama

Recognition of a Business Route on U.S.
Route 78

Beginning at the intersection of present U.S. Route 78 and State Route 5 northwest of Graysville, then northwesterly over S.R. 5 for 26.9 miles to the intersection of present U.S. Route 27 northwest of Jasper.

Delaware

Elimination of U.S. Route 113 and U.S.
Route 113 Alternate
RESUBMITTAL

(U.S. Route 113) Eliminate the existing U.S. Route 113 designation between the intersection with U.S. Route 13 in Dover and the intersection with State Route 1 in Milford.

(U.S. Route 113A) Eliminate the existing U.S. Route 113 Alternate designation between the intersections with U.S. Route 113 in Magnolia.

Florida

Relocation of U.S. Route 98

Beginning at the intersection of present U.S. Route 98 and a newly constructed facility in Brookville, then westerly and northerly over the facility for 8.3 miles to the intersection of present U.S. Route 98 north of Brookville.

Illinois

Relocation of U.S. Route 67

Beginning at the intersection of present U.S. Route 67 and a newly constructed facility north of Roseville, then southwesterly and southeasterly over the facility for 3.9 miles to the intersection of present U.S. Route 67 south of Roseville

Recognition of a Business Route on U.S.
Route 67

Re-designate present U.S. Route 67 between the above points as U.S. Route 67 Business.

Iowa

Relocation of U.S. Route 6

Beginning at the intersection of present U.S. Route 6 and Interstate Route 80 east of Dexter, then easterly and northeasterly over I-80 for 10.36 miles to the intersection of U.S. Route 169 in De Soto, then northerly over U.S. Route 169 for 5.16 miles to the intersection of present U.S. Route 6 in Adel.

Recognition of a Business Route on U.S. Route 151

Beginning at the intersection of present U.S. Route 151 and an existing facility west of Cascade, then northeasterly over the facility for 5.72 miles to the intersection of present U.S. Route 151 east of Cascade.

Relocation of U.S. Route 218

Beginning at the intersection of present U.S. Route 218 and a new facility being constructed in Nashua, then northwesterly over the facility for 4.07 miles to the intersection of present U.S. Route 218 northwest of Nashua.

Relocation of U.S. Route 275

Beginning at the intersection of present U.S. Route 275 and U.S. Route 34 east of Plattville, then westerly over U.S. Route 34 for 3.8 miles to the intersection of Interstate Route 29, then northerly over I-29 for 12.7 miles to the intersection of present U.S. Route 275 north of Lewis.