

5/18/2017

K. Platte

Special Committee on U. S. Route Numbering  
Tuesday May 23, 2017 – 12:00 PM-1:30 PM  
*Board Room*  
Portland, Maine  
Meeting Minutes

---

**Call to Order** at 12:11 pm by Chair Mark Van Port Fleet

**Attendance:**

- Region 1 – William Cass, New Hampshire DOT
- Region 2 – Emanuel Banks, Arkansas SH&TD
- Region 3 – Mark Van Port Fleet, Michigan DOT (Chair)
- Region 4 – Joshua Laipply, Colorado DOT

Jim McDonnell and Keith Platte – AASHTO, Ginny Sullivan – Adventure Cycling

**Order of the Day:** Ballot Number: USRN-17-01 (action)

Nine states submitted applications. They included 21 U.S. Routes, 2 Interstate Routes, and 1 Bicycle Route.

24 applications received

14 approved

10 approved conditionally

- Florida
- Georgia
- Illinois
- Nevada
- New York

0 denied

**Action Item 2017-SM-01:** Platte will follow up with the various agencies to complete their applications.

**Action Item 2017-SM-02:** For the Nevada submission, Platte will look into the I-11 application from a few years ago to ensure the redesignation of 1-515 as I-11 for the appropriate length.

**Discussion Items:**

The topic of moving the process to electronic format was discussed, but not to great detail. It was determined to have a follow up call to discuss the path forward on this initiative.

**Action Item 2017-SM-03:** Platte will schedule a conference call to discuss the path forward on this topic with the USRN Committee.

**New Business**

It was discussed about assuming the role of the USBR Task Force responsibilities. It was decided that AASHTO would work to identify a new USBR Task Force member.

**Action Item 2017-SM-04:** Platte will work to identify member of this group.

**Next Meeting:** Phoenix Arizona, Sept 2017

**Adjourn:** 1:29 pm

5/18/2017

K. Platte

**AASHTO SPRING 2017 ROUTE NUMBERING APPLICATIONS  
BALLOT RESULTS**

Item Number and Description	Decision	Comments 1	Comments 2
<p>Item No. 1 - State: Arkansas Route: US 62/US 412 Action: Relocation: Between U.S. Highway 62B west of the City of Cotter and U.S. Highway 62B in the City of Cotter Description: The Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department has constructed a new location facility that bypasses the City of Cotter, Arkansas. This roadway segment is designated and signed as U.S. Highway 62 and U.S. Highway 412. This request is to relocate U.S. Highway 62 and U.S. Highway 412 to this new location. A separate application is being submitted to redesignate the bypassed portion of U.S. Highway 62 and U.S. Highway 412 as U.S. Highway 62 Business.</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 2 - Arkansas US 62/US 412 Relocation: Between U.S. Highway 62B in west Mountain Home and U.S. Highway 62B in east Mountain Home The Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department has constructed a new location, four-lane divided facility that bypasses the City of Mountain Home, Arkansas. This roadway segment is designated and signed as U.S. Highway 62 and U.S. Highway 412. This request is to relocate U.S. Highway 62 and U.S. Highway 412 to this new location. A separate application is being submitted to redesignate the bypassed portion of U.S. Highway 62 and U.S. Highway 412 as U.S. Highway 62 Business.</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 3 - Arkansas US 62B Recognition of a Business Route: Between U.S. Highway 62B west of the City of Cotter and U.S. Highway 62B in the City of Cotter The Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department has constructed a new location facility that bypasses the City of Cotter. This roadway segment is designated as U.S. Highway 62 and U.S. Highway 412. A separate request has been submitted to relocate U.S. Highway 62 and U.S. Highway 412 to this new location. It is requested that U.S. Highway 62 and U.S. Highway 412, through the City of Cotter, be redesignated as U.S Highway 62 Business.</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 4 - Arkansas US 62B Recognition of a Business Route: Between U.S. Highway 62B in west Mountain Home and U.S. Highway 62B in east Mountain Home The Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department has constructed a new location, four-lane divided facility that bypasses the City of Mountain Home. This roadway segment is designated as U.S. Highway 62 and U.S. Highway 412. A separate request has been submitted to relocate U.S. Highway 62 and U.S. Highway 412 to this new location. It is requested that U.S. Highway 62 and U.S. Highway 412, through the City of Mountain Home, be redesignated as U.S Highway 62 Business.</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 5 - Arkansas US 70 DeQueen Relocation: Between U.S. Highway 70B west of De Queen and U.S. Highway 70B/71 in De Queen The Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department has constructed a new location, two-lane and four-lane undivided facility that continues through the City of De Queen, Arkansas. This request is to relocate U.S. Highway 70 to this new location. A separate application is being submitted to redesignate the bypassed portion of U.S. Highway 70 as U.S. Highway 70 Business.</p>	Affirmative	Should it be SH-71? New Alignment North Aligns with Exist 71	

5/18/2017

K. Platte

<p>Item No. 6 - Arkansas US 70B DeQueen Recognition of a Business Route: Between U.S. Highway 70 west of De Queen and U.S. Highway 70/71 in De Queen The Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department has constructed a new location, two-lane and four-lane undivided facility that continues through the City of De Queen, Arkansas. A separate request has been submitted to relocate U.S. Highway 70 to this new location. It is requested that U.S. Highway 70, through the City of De Queen, be redesignated as U.S Highway 70 Business.</p>	<p>Affirmative</p>	<p>Should it be SH-71? New Alignment North Aligns with Exist 71</p>	
<p>Item No. 7 - Arkansas US 70 Glenwood New location: Between State Highway 8 in west Glenwood and U.S. Highway 70B in east Glenwood The Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department has constructed a new location, two-lane undivided facility within the City of Glenwood, Arkansas. This request is to relocate U.S. Highway 70 to this new location. A separate application is being submitted to redesignate the bypassed portion of U.S. Highway 70 as U.S. Highway 70 Business.</p>	<p>Affirmative</p>		
<p>Item No. 8 - Arkansas US 70B Glenwood Recognition of a Business Route: Between U.S. Highway 70 in west Glenwood and U.S. Highway 70 in east Glenwood The Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department has constructed a new location, two-lane undivided facility within the city limits of Glenwood, Arkansas. A separate request has been submitted to relocate U.S. Highway 70. It is requested that U.S. Highway 70, within the City of Glenwood, be redesignated as U.S Highway 70 Business.</p>	<p>Affirmative</p>		
<p>Item No. 9 - Florida US 221 Relocation: Between US 27 and CR 359A in Perry, FL The current location of US 221 traverses through the "Historic" Perry, Florida Commercial District. Many buildings in the District date back to the early 1900's, with one registered resource, the First Methodist Episcopal Church (#15000210), located on the US 221 corridor. The current roadway within the downtown Perry city core is a two lane highway with no medians; inconsistent paved shoulders and on-street parking; and no bicycle lane connectivity. This request includes relocating the US 221 route onto the existing US 27 parallel facility, an improved 4 lane facility with 18'-30' medians and 6' paved shoulders/bicycle lanes. It should be noted: truck routing signage (North Truck 221) through the area currently directs truck traffic along the proposed relocation area, including the CR 359A connection proposed to be transferred to the state. This relocation will also assist in addressing the needs of the local citizens and elected officials with regards to preserving the historic area. The City of Perry will be able to utilize historic grants for improvements downtown that are currently not permitted due to FDOT/AASHTO roadway design standards. The local government desires to take over the maintenance of this facility. Also, please see attached support documentation from the local government for the transfer of CR 359A to the State. The transfer of CR 359A to the State and the downtown alignment of US 221 transfer to the local government are contingent on approval of this application.</p>	<p>Affirmative, upon clarification</p>	<p>Current US 221 extends south of SR 20 to intersect with US-19/US-98. What will be the designation of south of West Hampton Springs Ave (SR20) to the intersection of US-19/US-98? Also will US-221 end at the intersection of US-19/US27 (old intersection of CR359A and US-19/US27)? Or, will the new route from that intersection be triple designated US-19/US-27/US-221?</p>	

5/18/2017

K. Platte

<p>Item No. 10 - Georgia US 129 Relocation: Between NE of Gray and SW of Gray The relocation of U.S. Route 129 to the newly constructed North Gray Bypass will provide the traveling public a less congested and higher capacity route bypassing the city of Gray moving from a 2 lane facility through a population center to an open 4 lane divided facility.</p>	<p>Affirmative, upon clarification</p>	<p>What happens to the existing US 129 though the city of Gray? Is it turned back to be a local road? Does it become a BL or BR? Do you need to provide a jurisdictional transfer agreement?</p>	
<p>Item No. 11 - Illinois US 12 &amp; 45 Relocation: Between Intersection of Rand Road (Des Plaines) and Intersection of Elk Blvd. (Des Plaines) The jurisdiction of a 0.1 mile segment of the existing roadway marked as U.S. Route 12 and 45 will be transferred to the City of Des Plaines, Illinois. This jurisdictional transfer necessitates the proposed relocation in order to keep U.S. Routes 12 and 45 marked on roadways under the jurisdiction of the State of Illinois.</p>	<p>Affirmative upon clarification</p>	<p>Do we need a Jurisdiction transfer agreement?</p>	
<p>Item No. 12 - Illinois US 45 Relocation: Between Intersection of County Place (Lindenhurst) and 1500 ft. North of Independence Blvd. (Lindenhurst) A 1.3 mile section of US Route 45 is scheduled to be realigned and reconstructed along with several side roads to reduce the number of intersections. This realignment will occur near the municipalities of Lindenhurst and Old Mill Creek in Illinois.</p>	<p>Affirmative, upon clarification</p>	<p>What will happen to the old alignment? Is this a Jurisdictional transfer?</p>	
<p>Item No. 13 - Nevada US 50 Relocation: Between Fairview Dr. And Spooner Summit Intersection at the Jct. of IR580/US395 US 50 (TEMP) runs from the Carson City By-Pass at Fairview Ln. and US395/US50 to the junction of Carson St, then continues south along Carson St to the intersection of Spooner. This application will move the existing USSO temporary route to the new IR580 alignment currently under construction and due to open in late July or early August of 2017.</p>	<p>Affirmative, upon clarification</p>	<p>Do we need a resolution from the City accepting Jurisdictional transfer? Assuming Temporary US-50 will become a city street.</p>	
<p>Item No. 14 - Nevada US 93 Relocation: Between Foothills Dr. and SR172 The new portion of Interstate 11 is under construction and is scheduled to open in May 2017. When Interstate 11 is open, we wish to relocate US93 from its current alignment. The relocation would connect US93 to the IR11 alignment at county cumulative mile 16.349 at Foothills Dr. continuing to county cumulative mile 2.029 at SR172, sharing roadbed with IR11 for a total distance of 14.320 miles.</p>	<p>Affirmative, upon clarification</p>	<p>Item Numbers 14-16 seem to be all linked to the construction of New Interstate I-11. Tying all the actions together was a little challenging due to the existing I-515 which appears to be a Spur from Las Vegas. Interstate I-515 also appears to be part of future I-11. Let's Check the approval for Interstate 11.</p>	

5/18/2017

K. Platte

<p>Item No. 15 - Nevada US 93 Bus Recognition of a Business Route: Between IR11 and SR172 The new portion of Interstate 11 is under construction and is scheduled to open in May 2017. When IR11 is open, we wish to designate a portion of old US93 as US93 Business. The Business designation will begin at the junction IR11, county cumulative mile 11.351 and end at the junction of SR172 at US93 county cumulative mile 2.029 for a total distance of 9.322 miles.</p>	<p>Affirmative, upon clarification</p>	<p>See Item 14</p>	
<p>Item No. 16 - Nevada US 95 Relocation: Between and Railroad Pass Intg. Foothills Dr. {Old US93/0ldUS95 Intg.) The new portion of Interstate 11 is under construction and is scheduled to open in May 2017. When Interstate 11 is open, we wish to relocate US95 from its current alignment. The relocation would connect US95 to the IR11 alignment at Foothills Dr. to the Railroad Pass Intg. COid US93/0ld US95 Intg.) for a total distance of 2.759 miles.</p>	<p>Affirmative, upon clarification</p>	<p>See Item 14</p>	
<p>Item No. 17 - Nevada US 395 Relocation: Between Fairview Dr. And Spooner Intersection at Jct of IR580/US50 US395 (TEMP) runs from the Carson City Bypass at Fairview Ln and US395/US50, county cumulative mile 3.473 to the junction of Carson St., an county cumulative mile 0.328, then continues south along Carson St to the junction with the Spooner intersection. The portion of IR580 from Fairview Ln to the Spooner intersection is currently under construction and is scheduled to open in late July or early August 2017. When this section is open to the driving public we want to relocate US395 to the new IR580 alignment.</p>	<p>Affirmative, upon clarification</p>	<p>Linked to Item 13. Do we need resolution from the city accepting a jurisdictional transfer? Assuming temporary US-395 will become a city street.</p>	<p>However, no CEO Signature</p>
<p>Item No. 18 - New York US 220 Eliminate: Between Chemung St (CR 60) of I 86/NY 17 (Exit 60) and New York-Pennsylvania State Line just north of junction As a result of route numbering changes in and around the Village of Waverly, the retention of US 220 in New York is no longer appropriate. It is only about 1A mile in length, is not owned by NYSDOT and no longer connects with any New York State Touring Route. Currently, the northern terminus of US 220 (Elmira Street) starts at Chemung Street in the Village of Waverly before traveling south into Sayre, Pennsylvania. Before the Southern Tier Expressway (STE) (186 &amp; NY 17) was built, NY 17 was located on Chemung Street so it made sense to have that as the beginning point of US 220. After the STE was built and NY 17 moved over to the STE, Chemung Street was renumbered as NY 17C. About ten years ago, that designation was rescinded and it has no touring route number now. It is just a local road as is Elmira Street (US 220). Therefore, we propose to eliminate the section of US 220 in New York and have it start at the junction of 186/NY 17 which is a few hundred feet south of the NY/PA state line in Pennsylvania. Penn DOT concurs with the action. Many maps already reflect this change and there is one sign remaining on Elmira Street to indicate that it is US 220. Somehow, the paperwork was never completed years ago.</p>	<p>Affirmative, upon clarification</p>	<p>However, no CEO Signature</p>	
<p>Item No. 19 - North Carolina I 495 Future Eliminate: Between I-540 in Knightdale (Wake County) and I-95 in Rocky Mount (Nash County) This application is to remove the Future I-495 interstate route number designation between I-540 in Wake County and I-95 in Nash County. This alignment travels along portions of I-87 and Future I-87, a Congressionally designated high priority interstate corridor approved at the Spring 2016 US Route Number Special Committee meeting and subsequently approved by FHWA.</p>	<p>Affirmative</p>		

5/18/2017

K. Platte

<p>Item No. 20 - North Carolina I 495 Eliminate: Between I-440 in Raleigh (Wake County) and I-540 in Knightdale (Wake County) This application is to remove the I-495 interstate route number between I-440 and I-540 in Wake County. This alignment travels along a portion of a Congressionally designated high priority interstate corridor, which was approved as I-87 at the Spring 2016 US Route Number Special Committee meeting and subsequently approved by FHWA.</p>	<p>Affirmative</p>		
<p>Item No. 21 - Pennsylvania USBR 50 New location: Route Connects Maryland and West Virginia New Route</p>	<p>Affirmative</p>	<p>Acknowledged that this may change if the bridge needs to be closed,</p>	
<p>Item No. 22 - Texas US 87 Relocation: Between: 1.3 miles north of Farm To Market Road 700 and the intersection US 87 and 1-20 (west of the city of Big Spring). In the city of Big Spring, Texas (Howard County), the Texas Transportation Commission approved the designation of US 87 along a new location from 1-20 to approximately 1.3 miles north of Farm To Market Road 700 on existing US 87, a distance of approximately 7.6 miles; 2) upon completion of the proposed US 87 roadway. redesignation of a segment of existing US 87 as BU 87 from 1-20 to approximately 1.3 miles north of FM 700, a distance of approximately 3.3 miles; and 3) removal of the concurrent designation of US 87 with 1-20 from the intersection of existing US 87 and 1-20 to the intersection of new US 87 and 1-20 a distance of approximately 4.3 miles.</p>	<p>Affirmative</p>	<p>However, shouldn't this have a 287 designation?</p>	
<p>Item No. 23 - Texas US 90 Relocation: Between: Interstate Highway 1 O at College Street and Interstate Highway 1 O at the Neches River Bridge In Beaumont, Texas (Jefferson County), the Texas Transportation Commission approved the removal of US 90 from the state highway system. a distance of approximately 3.3 miles. where control, jurisdiction, and maintenance will be transferred to the city. It was also ordered that US 90 be designated concurrently with 1-1 O from College Street to the Neches River Bridge, a distance of approximately 4.1 miles.</p>	<p>Affirmative</p>	<p>However, is the section to be designated I-10 built to Interstate standards? Is it currently designated as I-10 and we are just adding US 90?</p>	
<p>Item No. 24 - Wisconsin US 12 Eliminate: Between Lake Delton and Baraboo The SW Region of Wisconsin DOT believes establishment of the interim and final local designation of the Business US 12 Route will serve the interest of the motoring public and possibly lessen the economic impact that local businesses and the communities of West Baraboo and the City of Baraboo feel they may experience.</p>	<p>Affirmative</p>		